## Message

From: Rieth, Susan [Rieth.Susan@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 10/29/2013 11:15:57 PM

To: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; D'Amico, Louis [d'amico.louis@epa.gov]; Fritz, Jason [Fritz.Jason@epa.gov];

Chiu, Weihsueh [Chiu.Weihsueh@epa.gov]

CC: Cogliano, Vincent [cogliano.vincent@epa.gov]; Perovich, Gina [Perovich.Gina@epa.gov]

Subject: tomorrow's all hands meeting

Attachments: quality evaluation for animal studies 10-30-13.docx

Thanks once again to all of you for sharing information and tables related to study evaluation/quality. I've pulled together examples from what you've sent for tomorrow's all hands meeting. My thought was to run through the package quickly so that everyone would know what examples are there (and to remind folks that the handbook remains a good resource), and then go back through and give each of you about 5 minutes to offer any observations about your tables/criteria/tiering/whatever. Weihsueh, I know you won't be able to attend much if any of the meeting tomorrow, but we can run though your example quickly as an alternative to the construct in the handbook.

I expect plenty of questions and hope for some productive discussion. This will be a good opportunity for those working on IRIS assessments to at least gain some awareness of progress made on these tables across NCEA, and hopefully move us all in a similar direction towards generating these tables. I expect there will be plenty of follow up.

Thanks again so much for participating and for helping kick start more conversation across NCEA on this topic. See you tomorrow!

Sue